P = Prosecution/Plaintiff:	_ D = Defense:			
(School)	_ ~ ~ ~ ~	(School)		
Judge's Name: Round L	ocation:	Date:		
<u>Instru</u>	ctions:			
Please use the following spaces to take notes on the perin attorney roles should be judged on the basis of their case. In addition, consider their organization, poise, or witness roles should be judged on the basis of the consistency with facts in the affidavit, poise, clarity, and these notes. Your comments are an important learning provide them with feedback.	knowledge of the clarity, and abilited eir convincing po d ability to focus	e law, court procedure, and grasp of the ty to focus your interest. Students in ortrayal of their characters, including your interest. Students will be seeing		
<u>ATTORNEYS</u>		<u>WITNESSES</u>		
 Made timely objections and avoided specious objections Demonstrated understanding of legal procedure/reasoning, could "think on feet" Direct examination was well-structured, coherent Controlled witness on cross-examination Articulate and professional in presentation, with minimal use of notes Impeached witnesses to delineate discrepancies between testimony and affidavit Opening statement established theory of the case Closing argument addressed strengths of own case, weaknesses of opponents' case Worked well with other team members Displayed appropriate decorum to team members, judges, and opposing team throughout the round Spoke loudly and clearly enough to be heard, understood 	when not on so Showed emot Avoided impe Gave respons material facts Responded w examination Knew part col Maintained po become inapp Worked well Displayed app judges, and op	ortrayal of character throughout trial, even stand, without relying on notes cion appropriate to role eachment es that helped team, without inventing on direct examination rell to unanticipated questions on cross ld, believable performance oise during cross examination, did not propriately flustered or uncertain with other team members propriate decorum to team members, pposing team throughout the round and clearly enough to be heard,		
PL./PROS. OPENING STATEMENT NAME:				
DEFENSE OPENING STATEMENT NAME:				

P = Prosecution/Plaintiff:(School)	D = Defense:	
(School)	(Sch	ool)
Judges Name:	Round Location:	Date:
PL./PROS. WITNESS #1 CHARACTER NAME:		
<u>Directing Attorney Notes:</u>	Witness Notes (Direct):	
Crossing Attorney Notes:	Witness Notes (Cross)	
DI /DDOC MUTDIECC //O CHADACTED MANGE	<u>'</u>	
PL./PROS. WITNESS #2 CHARACTER NAME:		
Directing Attorney Notes:	Witness Notes (Direct):	
Crossing Attorney Notes:	Witness Notes (Cross)	
	I	
PL./PROS. WITNESS #3 CHARACTER NAME:		
Directing Attorney Notes:	Witness Notes (Direct):	
Crossing Attorney Notes:	Witness Notes (Cross)	

P = Prosecution/Plaintiff:(School)	D = Defense:	
(School)	(Sc	thool)
Judges Name:	Round Location:	Date:
DEFENSE WITNESS #1 CHARACTER NAME: _		_
Directing Attorney Notes:	Witness Notes (Direct):	
Crossing Attorney Notes:	Witness Notes (Cross)	
DEFENSE WITNESS #2 CHARACTER NAME: _		
Directing Attorney Notes:	Witness Notes (Direct):	
Crossing Attorney Notes:	Witness Notes (Cross)	
DEFENSE WITNESS #3 CHARACTER NAME: _		_
Directing Attorney Notes:	Witness Notes (Direct):	
Crossing Attorney Notes:	Witness Notes (Cross)	

P = Prosecution/Plaintiff:		D = Defense:		
P = Prosecution/Plaintiff:	(School)		(School)	
		Round Location:	Date:	-
PL./PROS. CLOSING ARGUMENT:				
DEFENSE CLOSING ARGUMENT:				
DEFENSE GEOSING ANGUMENT.				
PL.PROS. REBUTTAL ARGUMENT				

USE THE FOLLOWING SPACE FOR ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO THE TEAMS. SUCH AS HIGHLIGHTS AND AREAS FOR GENERAL IMPROVEMENTS:

CIVICS FIRST/CBA MOCK TRIAL COMPETITION - SCORE SHEET

CRITERIA: Students are judged upon their knowledge of procedure, the law and facts of the case, in addition to the overall quality of their presentation. For each of the performance categories listed below please rate each individual on a scale of 4 to 10. DO NOT use fractional points

(School)

P = Prosecution/Plaintiff: ______ D = Defense: _____ (School)

SCORE SHEET	<u>P</u>		<u>D</u>
ening Statement	1.		2.
1 st P Attorney: Direct Exam	3.	1 st D Attorney: Cross Examination:	4.
1st P Witness: Direct Exam	5.		
1 st P Witness: Cross Exam	6.		
2 nd P Attorney: Direct Exam	7.	2 nd D Attorney: Cross Examination:	8.
2 nd P Witness: Direct Exam	9.		
2 nd P Witness: Cross Exam	10.		
3 rd P Attorney: Direct Exam	11.	3 rd D Attorney: Cross Examination:	12.
3 rd P Witness: Direct Exam	13.		
3 rd P Witness: Cross Exam	14.		

Points carried forward from page 1:

Prosecution/Plaintiff:		Defen	Defense:	
1 st P Attorney: Cross Exam	16.	1 st D Attorney: Direct Exam	15.	
		1 st D Witness: Direct Exam	17.	
		1 st D Witness: Cross Exam	18.	
2 nd P Attorney: Cross Exam	20.	2 nd D Attorney: Direct Exam:	19.	
		2 nd D Witness: Direct Exam	21.	
		2 nd D Witness: Cross Exam	22.	
3 rd P Attorney: Cross Exam	24.	3 rd D Attorney: Direct Exam	23.	
		3 rd D Witness: Direct Exam	25.	
		3 rd D Witness: Cross Exam	26.	
Closing Arguments	27.		28.	
PLAINTIFF/PROSECUTION FINAL SCORE		DEFENSE FINAL SCORE		
	een the two teams, and ONL n to determine the winner of		ase award a tiebreaker point ircle one)	
In my op	inion the	team wo	on this trial.	
Judge's Name:				