CBA LPRC POSITION REQUEST FORM

The CBA Elder Law Section **position request** is as follows:

1) Proposed legislative or regulatory concept:

The Elder Law Section <u>opposes</u> the CT Department of Social Services (DSS) proposed regulations to amend UPM Section 4030.35, which would change the calculation of the interest factor in computing the value of life uses.

2) Explanation and rationale for advancing this position:

DSS uses the "U.S. Life Table of Total Population, 1978" actuarial tables for determining the value of a life use. The interest rate used for the actuarial function in those tables is 5%. DSS had proposed several years ago that another table should be used, which would result in the value of a life would use being greatly overstated.

3) Is draft regulation, legislation or proposed bill included? DSS has previously proposed a change to its regulation and the Section is concerned that this position may be advanced again.

4) What is the date of any legislative hearing, if known? None at this time.

5) Was this position previously approved by the CBA? If so, when does/did it expire? Yes, the position expired at the end of the 2019 Legislative session

- 6) Is the CBA section or committee seeking to join a previously approved CBA section or committee position? No.
- 7) Potential or actual CBA opposition from another CBA section or committee? No.
- 8) Strength of section position (including process and results of section vote taken on issue):

Re-authorization of section position unanimously approved by the Executive Committee of the Elder law section at its dinner meeting on September 17, 2019.

- 9) Fiscal impact (on the state): None.
- 10) Are you seeking "fast-track" approval: No¹

¹ A "fast track" recommendation will be submitted to the House of Delegates (HOD) or Board of Governors (BOG) at its next scheduled meeting (or, if between meetings of the HOD or BOG and during the legislative session, to the Executive Committee), and is warranted only when the Legislative Policy & Review Committee concludes that further analysis and study is unnecessary and where there is legitimate time pressure to address pending legislation.