

Honorable Andrew J. McDonald Chair, Rules Committee of the Superior Court

Regarding Proposed Rule Change to Sec. 38-8 Ten Percent Cash Bail

On behalf of the Bail Association of Connecticut (BAC), we would like to thank the Rules Committee for the opportunity to provide testimony in opposition to the Connecticut Sentencing Commission's request for a rules change within the Connecticut Practice Book. Specifically, the change request would provide, among other things, an automatic ten percent option on all bonds not exceeding \$20,000.

The letter indicates the 10% option "would assist indigent persons to make a bond" and possibly help defendants detained on low level charges. The letter further articulates this is not a long term solution to pretrial justice issues and suggests exploring a no money (in-or-out type) bail system, suggesting that the proposed Rule Change Committee will improve the state's pretrial justice system.

As you are most likely aware, the Connecticut State Legislature for the past number of years, has considered legislation aimed at reforming our state's pretrial release system and most recently passed comprehensive legislation in 2017 (PA 17-145). While we as an association have not always agreed with the pretrial reform proponents, at the urging of the legislature our association worked in good faith with the Sentencing Commission, the Malloy Administration, the Office of Policy and Management, and the leadership of the Judiciary Committee to arrive at a compromise reform package supported by all the parties and enacted by the legislature.

Of note and concerning to our association members is the fact that this proposed rule change currently before you as requested by the Sentencing Commission, was proposed by Governor Malloy (2017, HB 7044, Sec.2(4)(A)) and was specifically considered and was rejected by the legislature as part of their deliberations in 2017.

We appreciate the work the Sentencing Commission has performed, and thank them for allowing us to provide information relating to pretrial release over the past years, however, after careful analysis, we have been able to clearly determine that an automatic 10% option does not help those it is purported to and, most concerning, allows defendants to simply "buy their way out of jail"; in turn weakening our pretrial system (Connecticut, which is currently considered the gold standard of pretrial release nationally). This proposed change will increase the number of defendants who will not have anyone vested in their appearance when they fail to appear.

Additionally, a clear unintended consequence of setting a dollar amount to automatically trigger a release is that it will increase bonds. If law enforcement or the Court wants someone to look a defendant if or when he or she misses court, they will be forced to set the bond higher than they would have prior to the rule change. This happened in the State of Maryland - they chose the amount to be \$2,500.00 which increased bonds in that state to be set above \$2,500.00.

The commission has also not provided an answer as to who will collect the remaining balance of the bonds forfeited and whom they will collect from. Without a system to collect the remaining balance of the forfeiture from the defendant the purpose of the bond will be defeated. We are concerned with this issue and would like to know how the commission proposes to address this issue without further burdening our state's court system.

Despite the fact that 10% has been available to defendants regularly for almost 2 decades it is used very little. For the last five years, 2014 through 2018, the 10% option was used 1,382 times out of 616,435 custodial arrests that resulted in pretrial release. This evidences the 10% option was clearly not the method of release chosen. That being said, it is also worth noting that at the time of each annual analysis, on average 39% of bonds that used the 10% option over the time period did not appear in court and remained active forfeitures while only 16% of those on surety bonds remained at large.

We are concerned as to why these figures were not factored into the Commission's recommendation as the data is readily available to them. Such information should have given them pause. We advocate that when considering this data, one should question the merits of such a proposed change to the Practice Book. A study of these figures and review of a sampling of defendants in the data set, would have found them unable to afford the 10% option. Reliance on the lower cost and flexible payment options offered by our industry would have been advantageous to them. This is something that only the surety industry can offer. That being said, BAC would be happy to participate in further studies to learn more about such options.

If the intent of the proposed rule change is to help the indigent defendants, a far more simple and effective solution would be to order smaller bond amounts when a bond is determined to be necessary.

Advocates of this rule change have suggested arguments regarding different methods of pretrial release. Their publications fail to provide sufficient evidence supporting the Sentencing Commission's claim that the 10% option helps indigent defendants. In the contrary, they push for no-money bail systems. You do not have to look far to find proof of how ineffective that is.

Attached to my testimony, we have reports created of data sourced from the Judicial Branch. What the data tells us is the number of custodial arrests made, method of release, and rates of forfeiture. We have also dug in deeper to show the effectiveness of the different types of release on the rate of active forfeitures after time. These reports clearly show that while failure to appear (FTA) rates may be similar across the different types of pretrial release, the return of those defendants who failed to appear is not. Rates of active FTA orders are double, triple and in some years quadruple in forms other than surety bail.

Many of the judges on this committee today have presided in criminal courts throughout the state. It is clear the use of the 10% option has been encouraged and offered regularly to defendants in some courts yet has been used very little. No evidence has been provided from the proponents to support such a change within the practice book. However, we believe, we have consistently provided clear evidence of the failures of the 10% option and the need to eliminate it from the practice book. In light of the facts we have discovered, it is apparent that if any change to the practice book should be considered it should be the elimination of the 10% option, not the expanded use of such release as proposed.

In summary, we respectfully request that this proposed rule change not be enacted and that you consider the following points as articulated above:

Unintended Consequences

- Not in line with legislative initiative (2017)
- · Larger bonds
- Weakening of the state's pretrial system
- Debtor's prison/collection problems on forfeitures
- No incentive to appear
- 10% doesn't perform as well as surety bonds relating to return of defendants who FTA
- Will decimate an industry with such positive results no cost to taxpayer

• As of April 2019, the 2018 forfeitures stand to net the state between 3 to 5,000,000 dollars. (Bonds \$20,000 or less, total about \$2,000,000 in active forfeitures.), representing 3,000,000 in potential revenue through the asset forfeiture division.

• Defendants shall be liable for the full amount of the bond.

The purpose of pretrial release includes the appearance of the defendant in court to face charges, and the use of 10% bail fails to do this, it only offers the defendant a 90% discount to buy their freedom.

2014	Count	Usage	# FTAs	% FTA per release type		
Felony						
Cash Posted	1,114	4.03%	93	8.35%		
Non-Surety	4,078	14.77%	477	11.70%		
PTA	9,365	33.91%	1,393	14.87%	·治疗是25-17694	
Surety	12,964	46.94%	1,518	11.71%		
10 % Cash Posted	98	0.35%	13	13.27%		
Sub	27,619	100.00%	3,494	12.65%		Alexandra de la composición de la composicinde la composición de la composición de la composición de l
Misdemeanor						
Cash Posted	5,070	4.80%	511	10.08%		
Non-Surety	17,569	16.64%	1,661	9.45%		
PTA	64,979	61.55%	8,323	12.81%		
Surety	17,803	16.86%	2,668	14.99%		21566210
10 % Cash Posted	151	0.14%	33	21.85%		
Sub	105,572	100.00%	13,196	12.50%		
					Still Active	% Active
Total					Data not available	Data N/A
Cash Posted	6,184	4.64%	604	9.77%		
Non-Surety	21,647	16.25%	2,138	9.88%		
PTA	74,344	55.82%	9,716	13.07%		
Surety	30,767	23.10%	4,186	13.61%		
10 % Cash Posted	249	0.19%	46	18.47%		
Totals for 2014	133,191	100.00%	16,690	12.53%		N Marriel I.
Көу:	Harris I.	in the second second				
Count = Times each ty	pe of release was	used				
Useage = Count divide	d by subtotal of ca	ategory of charge	s (Felony or Mis	demeanor)	A STATE	102421 2001
# FTAs = Number of F	TAs as listed in Bo	onds by Bond Typ	be and FTA Rate	e data reports		
% FTA type = # FTA d	vided by count				1970 F. (1991 - 1975 - 1975	R. S. M. O. S. C.
Still active = cases that	t are still listed on	the judicial websi	Ite as active rear	rest orders		
% Active = number of	still active cases d	ivided by Count of	ofuses	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	and the state of the	San Prove
Source:	Sector States					S No.
"Bonds by Bond Typ						100-1211021
		to the second second	site "Case Loo	Lucia II	TRANSFER PARTY AND ADDRESS TO A THE ADDR	

2015	Count	Usage	# FTAs	% FTA per release type		
Felony						
Cash Posted	907	3.44%	44	4.85%		
Non-Surety	3,935	14.92%	331	8.41%		
PTA	9,158	34.73%	1,035	11.30%		
Surety	12,243	46.43%	1,053	8.60%		
10 % Cash Posted	125	0.47%	7	5.60%		
Sub	26,368	100.00%	2,470	9.37%		
Misdemeanor						
Cash Posted	4,624	4.56%	378	8.17%		
Non-Surety	17,457	17.20%	1,407	8.06%		S. B. G. B. M.
PTA	62,635	61.72%	7,003	11.18%		
Surety	16,668	16.42%	1,977	11.86%		
10 % Cash Posted	102	0.10%	11	10.78%		
Sub	101,486	100.00%	10,776	10.62%		7540075
					Still Active	% Active
Total					as of April 2016	April 2016
Cash Posted	5,531	4.33%	422	7.63%	179	42.42%
Non-Surety	21,392	16.73%	1,738	8.12%	507	29.17%
PTA	71,793	56.15%	8,038	11.20%	4,555	56.67%
Surety	28,911	22.61%	3,030	10.48%	444	14.65%
10 % Cash Posted	227	0.18%	18	7.93%	7	38.89%
Totals for 2015	127,854	100.00%	13,246	10.36%	5,692	42.97%
Key:		all the second second				
Count = Times each ty	be of release was	used				
Useage = Count divide	d by subtotal of c	ategory of charge	es (Felony or Mis	sdemeanor)		
# FTAs = Number of F1						STR. War
% FTA type = # FTA di						
Still active = cases that	are still listed on	the judicial webs	ite as active rea	rrest orders		
% Active = number of s						
Source:						al set of the
"Bonds by Bond Type	and FTA Rate	data supplied	by court opper	ations		
"Still active" data con	will a stream of the state	stal Dean above h	alta IlCasa Las	lau - P		

2016	Count	Usage	# FTAs	% FTA per release type		
Felony						
Cash Posted	803	3.56%	65	8.09%	Mark Markey	
Non-Surety	3,134	13.88%	252	8.04%		
PTA	7,517	33.30%	844	11.23%		
Surety	10,942	48.48%	891	8.14%		
10 % Cash Posted	176	0.78%	3	1.70%		
Sub	22,572	100.00%	2,055	9.10%		
Misdemeanor						en alla h
Cash Posted	4,849	5.00%	433	8.93%		
Non-Surety	18,324	18.88%	1,558	8.50%		Carl Carl Carl
PTA	57,861	59.63%	6,621	11.44%		
Surety	15,842	16.33%	1,845	11.65%		
10 % Cash Posted	164	0.17%	21	12.80%		
Sub	97,040	100.00%	10,478	10.80%		
					Still Active	% Active
Total					as of March 2017	March 2017
Cash Posted	5,652	4.73%	498	8.81%	254	51.00%
Non-Surety	21,458	17.94%	1,810	8.44%	593	32.76%
PTA	65,378	54.66%	7,465	11.42%	5,047	67.61%
Surety	26,784	22.39%	2,736	10.22%	470	17.18%
10 % Cash Posted	340	0.28%	24	7.06%	13	54.17%
Totals for 2016	119,612	100.00%	12,533	10.48%	6,377	50.88%
Key:						
Count = Times each ty	oe of release was	used				
Useage = Count divide	d by subtotal of c	ategory of charge	s (Felony or Mis	demeanor)		
# FTAs = Number of F	TAs as listed in B	onds by Bond Ty	pe and FTA Rate	e data reports		
% FTA type = # FTA dl	vided by count					
Still active = cases that	are still listed on	the judicial webs	lte as active rea	rrest orders		國防回行政制
% Active = number of s	till active cases d	Ivided by Count of	of uses			
Source:						The second
"Bonds by Bond Type					A STATE OF THE STATE	MC - LOW
	the state of the second law off	cial Branch web	alta "Casa Las	Harris H		

2017	Count	Usage	# FTAs	% FTA per release type	PER ANA ALA	
Felony						
Cash Posted	708	3.09%	56	7.91%		
Non-Surety	3,164	13.81%	343	10.84%		
PTA	7,815	34.12%	1,046	13.38%		
Surety	11,103	48.48%	1,105	9.95%		
0 % Cash Posted	114	0.50%	10	8.77%		Lic <u>pedin</u>
Sub	22,904	100.00%	2,560	11.18%		
Misdemeanor					Contraction of the second	
Cash Posted	4,261	4.49%	406	9.53%		
Non-Surety	17,515	18.46%	1,875	10.71%		
PTA	57,559	60.65%	7,522	13.07%		
Surety	15,420	16.25%	2,202	14.28%		
0 % Cash Posted	151	0.16%	26	17.22%	A starting	12
Sub	94,906	100.00%	12,031	12.68%		
					Still Active	% Active
Total					Data Not Available	Data N/A
Cash Posted	4,969	4.22%	462	9.30%		
Non-Surety	20,679	17.55%	2,218	10.73%		
PTA	65,374	55.49%	8,568	13.11%		
Surety	26,523	22.51%	3,307	12.47%		
10 % Cash Posted	265	0.22%	36	13.58%		
Totals for 2017	117,810	100.00%	14,591	12.39%		all in the second
Key:						
Count = Times each ty	oe of release was	used				
Useage = Count divide			s (Felony or Mis	sdemeanor)		
# FTAs = Number of F					Distant second second	
% FTA type = # FTA di					Sala Alexand	No. Cont
Still active = cases that		the judicial webs	ite as active rea	rrest orders		
% Active = number of s						
Source:						
Bonds by Bond Typ	e and FTA Rate	data supplied	by court opper	ations	E. V. Starter Have B	
"Still active" data con					the second se	

2018	Count	Usage	# FTAs	% FTA per release type		
Felony						
Cash Posted	614	2.72%	34	5.54%		
Non-Surety	2,692	11.94%	261	9.70%		
PTA	7,355	32.62%	956	13.00%	WE-IT DE SALGE	
Surety	11,763	52.17%	1,083	9.21%		
0 % Cash Posted	124	0.55%	4	3.23%	and the second second	
Sub	22,548	100.00%	2,338	10.37%		
Misdemeanor						
Cash Posted	3,473	3.64%	248	7.14%		
Non-Surety	16,767	17.57%	1,599	9.54%		
PTA	58,978	61.81%	7,120	12.07%		
Surety	16,025	16.79%	2,313	14.43%		6. A 32 M.S 2
0 % Cash Posted	177	0.19%	38	21.47%		
Sub	95,420	100.00%	11,318	11.86%		N. C. N. H. S.
					Still Active	% Active
Total					as of April 2019	April 2019
Cash Posted	4,087	3.46%	282	6.90%	154	54.61%
Non-Surety	19,459	16.50%	1,860	9.56%	561	30.16%
PTA	66,333	56.23%	8,076	12.17%	4,207	52.09%
Surety	27,788	23.56%	3,396	12.22%	565	16.64%
0 % Cash Posted	301	0.26%	42	13.95%	13	30.95%
Totals for 2018	117,968	100.00%	13,656	11.58%	5,500	40.28%
Key:						
Count = Times each ty	pe of release was	used				
Jseage = Count divide			s (Felony or Mis	sdemeanor)		
# FTAs = Number of F						
% FTA type = # FTA di					読みであれていた。たけで	
Still active = cases that	1.2.4.6.6	the judicial webs	ite as active rea	rrest orders		life in the
% Active = number of s						
Source:		and the second second				1.04
Bonds by Bond Type	and FTA Rate	data supplied	by court opper	ations		Billing Straight
			site "Case Loo			

Compiled FTA Data by year 2014-2018

2014-2018	Count	Usage	# FTAs	% FTA per release type			
Felony							
Cash Posted	4,146	3.40%	292	7.04%		1 1 1 1 2 2	
Non-Surety	17,003	13.94%	1,664	9.79%			
PTA	41,210	33.78%	5,274	12.80%			
Surety	59,015	48.37%	5,650	9.57%			
0 % Cash Posted	637	0.52%	37	5.81%			
Sub	122,011	100.00%	12,917	10.59%			
Misdemeanor							20
Cash Posted	22,277	4.51%	1,976	8.87%	3411.867/6		
Non-Surety	87,632	17.72%	8,100	9.24%			1122
PTA	302,012	61.08%	36,589	12.12%	E ST CONST		0-1
Surety	81,758	16.54%	11,005	13.46%		And Section	1.1
0 % Cash Posted	745	0.15%	129	17.32%	- THEAT	The state of the s	
Sub	494,424	100.00%	57,799	11.69%			
Total							
Cash Posted	26,423	4.29%	2,268	8.58%			
Non-Surety	104,635	16.97%	9,764	9.33%		12 1. A. B.	25
PTA	343,222	55.68%	41,863	12.20%	5 . A 3 A		
Surety	140,773	22.84%	16,655	11.83%	1. not to the		
0 % Cash Posted	1,382	0.22%	166	12.01%	Area Astro		
2014-2018 Totals	616,435	100.00%	70,716	11.47%			
Key:			CAR AN UNI			THE	
Count = Times each ty	difference			1	and the same		
Jseage = Count divide						에 다섯, 역	
FTAs = Number of F		onds by Bond Ty	pe and FTA Rat	e data reports		and the second	
% FTA type = # FTA di						1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	
Still active = cases that				rrest orders	4 Constan		1
% Active = number of a	atili active cases d	livided by Count of	ofuses	1	Service of the servic		
Source:						1	
Bonds by Bond Type							
"Still active" data con	apiled from Judi	cial Branch web	eite "Case I or	kup"			

Year Arrest	Original Arrest Type	Bond Type	Count	# FTAs	% FTAs per Bon Type
2014	Felony	N/A	125	11	8.80%
2014	Felony	Automatically Terminated (Unknown Type prior to termination)	8	1	12.50%
2014	Felony	Cash Posted	1114	93	8.35%
2014	Felony	Non-Surety	4078	477	11.70%
2014	Felony	Real Estate Posted	5	1	20.00%
2014	Felony	Promise To Appear	9365	1393	14.87%
2014	Felony	Surety Bond Posted	12964	1518	11.71%
2014	Felony	Bond Not Posted (or posting type unknown at time of FTA)	14094	23	0.16%
2014	Felony	Ten-Percent Cash Posted	98	13	13.27%
2014 Total Felonies	·		41851	3530	8.43%
2014	Misdemeanor	N/A	141	14	9.93%
2014	Misdemeanor	Appeal Bond Posted (Type Unknown)	1	0	0.00%
2014	Misdemeanor	Automatically Terminated (Unknown Type prior to termination)	13	1	7.69%
2014	Misdemeanor	Cash Posted	5070	511	10.08%
2014	Misdemeanor	Non-Surety	17569	1661	9.45%
2014	Misdemeanor	Promise To Appear	64979	8323	12.81%
2014	Misdemeanor	Surety Bond Posted	17803	2668	14.99%
2014	Misdemeanor	Bond Not Posted (or posting type unknown at time of FTA)	10595	29	0.27%
2014	Misdemeanor	Ten-Percent Cash Posted	151	33	21.85%
2014 Total Misdemeanor	·赤叶云 [1]		116322	13226	11.37%
2014 TOTAL	All Types		158173	16756	10.59%

Year Arrest	Original Arrest Type	Bond Type	Count	# FTAs	% FTAs per Bond Type
2015	Felony	N/A	71	11	15.49%
2015	Felony	Automatically Terminated (Unknown Type prior to termination)	8	0	0.00%
2015	Felony	Cash Posted	907	44	4.85%
2015	Felony	Non-Surety	3935	331	8.41%
2015	Felony	Real Estate Posted	13	0	0.00%
2015	Felony	Promise To Appear	9158	1035	11.30%
2015	Felony	Surety Bond Posted	12243	1053	8.60%
2015	Felony	Bond Not Posted (or posting type unknown at time of FTA)	13125	15	0.11%
2015	Felony	Ten-Percent Cash Posted	125	7	5.60%
2015 Total Felonies			39585	2496	6.31%
2015	Misdemeanor	N/A	97	5	5.15%
2015	Misdemeanor	Appeal Bond Posted (Type Unknown)	1	0	0.00%
2015	Misdemeanor	Automatically Terminated (Unknown Type prior to termination)	17	0	0.00%
2015	Misdemeanor	Cash Posted	4624	378	8.17%
2015	Misdemeanor	Non-Surety	17457	1407	8.06%
2015	Misdemeanor	Real Estate Posted	1	0	0.00%
2015	Misdemeanor	Promise To Appear	62635	7003	11.18%
2015	Misdemeanor	Surety Bond Posted	16668	1977	11.86%
2015	Misdemeanor	Bond Not Posted (or posting type unknown at time of FTA)	10576	34	0.32%
2015	Misdemeanor	Ten-Percent Cash Posted	102	11	10.78%
2015 Total Misdemeanors		All rolling and a party	112178	10810	9.64%
2015 TOTAL	All Types		151763	13306	8.77%

Data reflects the number of arrests each period, not the number of cases. There can be more than one arrest on a single case, such as an arrest followed by a 2nd arrest for Fallure to Appear In Court.

Data does not include infraction/violation cases transferred from CIB in which a misdemeanor/felony charge subsequently was added

Bonds by Type and	Bonds by Type and Failure to Appear Rate	e			
Year Arrest	Original Arrest Type	Bond Type	Count	# FTAs	% FTAs per Bond Type
2016	Felony	N/A	81	2	2.47%
2016	Felony	Automatically Terminated (Unknown Type prior to termination)	2	0	0.00%
2016	Felony	Cash Posted	803	65	8.09%
2016	Felony	Non-Surety	3134	252	8.04%
2016	Felony	Real Estate Posted	2	0	0.00%
2016	Felony	Promise To Appear	7517	844	11.23%
2016	Felony	Surety Bond Posted	10942	891	8.14%
2016	Felony	Bond Not Posted (or posting type unknown at time of FTA)	12621	4	0.03%
2016	Felony	Ten-Percent Cash Posted	176	ŝ	1.70%
		2016 Total Felonies	35278	2061	5.84%
2016	Misdemeanor	N/A	105	7	6.67%
2016	Misdemeanor	Appeal Bond Posted (Type Unknown)	0	0	N/A
2016	Misdemeanor	Automatically Terminated (Unknown Type prior to termination)	7	1	14.29%
2016	Misdemeanor		4849	433	8.93%
2016	Misdemeanor	Non-Surety	18324	1558	8.50%
2016	Misdemeanor	Promise To Appear	57861	6621	11.44%
2016	Misdemeanor	Surety Bond Posted	15842	1845	11.65%
2016	Misdemeanor	Bond Not Posted (or posting type unknown at time of FTA)	11386	25	0.22%
2016	Misdemeanor	Ten-Percent Cash Posted	164	21	12.80%
		2016 Total Misdemeanor	108538	10511	9.68%
2016 TOTAL	All Types		143816 12572	12572	8.74%

State of Connecticut - Judicial Branch Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests

Data as of 01/30/2017

Data reflects the number of arrests each period as of 1/30/2017, not the number of cases. There can be more than one arrest on a single case, such as an arrest followed by a 2nd arrest for failure to appear.

Data does not include infraction/violation cases transferred from CIB in which a misd/felony charge was subsequently added.

Branch	
- Judicial	
Connecticut	
of	
State	

Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests Ronds by Type and Failure to Annear

est	Original Arrect Tune			ļ	02 ETAs ner Bond
2017		Bond Type	Count	# FTAs	
	Felony	N/A	85	3	3.53%
/107	Felony	Automatically Terminated (Unknown Type prior to termination)	2	0	0.00%
2017	Felony	Cash Posted	708	56	7.91%
2017	Felony	Non-Surety	3164	343	10.84%
2017	Felony	Real Estate Posted	8	0	0.00%
2017	Felony	Promise To Appear	7815	1046	13.38%
2017	Felony	Surety Bond Posted	11103	1105	9.95%
2017	Felony	Bond Not Posted (or posting type unknown at time of FTA)	11688	11	0.09%
2017	Felony	Ten-Percent Cash Posted	114	10	8.77%
		2016 Total Felonies	34687	2574	7.42%
2017	Misdemeanor	N/A	78	7	8.97%
2017	Misdemeanor	Real Estate Posted	28	4	14.29%
2017	Misdemeanor	Automatically Terminated (Unknown Type prior to termination)	6	1	11.11%
2017	Misdemeanor	Cash Posted	4261	406	9.53%
2017	Misdemeanor	Non-Surety	17515	1875	10.71%
2017	Misdemeanor	Promise To Appear	57559	7522	13.07%
2017	Misdemeanor	Surety Bond Posted	15420	2202	14.28%
2017	Misdemeanor	Bond Not Posted (or posting type unknown at time of FTA)	10792	19	0.18%
2017	Misdemeanor	Ten-Percent Cash Posted	151	26	17.22%
		2016 Total Misdemeanor	105813	12062	11.40%
2017 TOTAL	All Types	「「「「「「「「「「「」」」」」、「「」」、「」」、「」」、「」」、「」」、「	140500	14636	10.42%

Data as of 03/29/2018

Data reflects the number of arrests each period as of 03/29/2018, not the number of cases. There can be more than one arrest on a single case, such as an arrest followed by a 2nd arrest for failure to appear.

Data does not include infraction/violation cases transferred from CIB in which a misd/felony charge was subsequently added.

Dranch	
Indiation	JUULIA
4	D
0+0+0	סרפוע

Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests

Bonds by Type and Failure to Appear Rate

Arrest Year	Original Arrest Type	Bond Type	Count	# FTAs	% FTAs per Bond Type
2018	2018 Felony	N/A	73	4	5.5%
2018	2018 Felony	Automatically Terminated (Unknown Type prior to termination)	5	1	20.0%
2018	2018 Felony	Cash Posted	614	34	5.5%
2018	2018 Felony	Non-Surety	2692	261	9.7%
2018	2018 Felony	Promise To Appear	7355	956	13.0%
2018	2018 Felony	Surety Bond Posted	11763	1083	9.2%
2018	2018 Felony	Bond Not Posted (or posting type unknown at time of FTA)	11534	12	0,1%
2018	2018 Felony	Ten-Percent Cash Posted	124	4	3.2%
		2018 Total Felonies	34160	2355	6.9%
2018	2018 Misdemeanor	N/A	67	ъ	7.5%
2018	2018 Misdemeanor	Automatically Terminated (Unknown Type prior to termination)	7	0	0.0%
2018	2018 Misdemeanor	Cash Posted	3473	248	7.1%
2018	2018 Misdemeanor	IB	34	29	85.3%
2018	2018 Misdemeanor	Non-Surety	16767	1599	9.5%
2018	Misdemeanor	2018 Misdemeanor Promise To Appear	58978	7120	12.1%
2018	Misdemeanor	2018 Misdemeanor Surety Bond Posted	16025	2313	14.4%
2018	2018 Misdemeanor	Bond Not Posted (or posting type unknown at time of FTA)	11075	14	0.1%
2018	2018 Misdemeanor	Ten-Percent Cash Posted	177	38	21.5%
		2018 Total Misdemeanors	106603	11366	10.7%
2018 Total	All Types		140763	13721	9.7%

Data as of 2/20/2019

Data reflects the number of arrests as of 2/20/2019, not the number of cases. there can be more than one arrest on a single case, such as an arrest followed by a 2nd arrest for failure to appear.

Data does not include infraction/violation cases transferred from CIB in which a misd/felony charge was subsequently added.