

From: Stephanie Roberge <sroberge@kennedyjohnson.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 3, 2020 1:46 PM
To: Rules Committee
Cc: Joan Maloney
Subject: Request from the Rules Committee of the Superior Court (RC #2020-010)
Attachments: SKM_C654e20110314081.pdf

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Attached please find the response from CTLA.
Thank you.



CONNECTICUT
TRIAL LAWYERS
ASSOCIATION

STEPHANIE Z. ROBERGE
President

Via Email: RulesCommittee@jud.ct.gov

November 3, 2020

Justice Andrew J. McDonald
Chair of Rules Committee
c/o Joseph Del Ciampo
Director of Legal Services
Connecticut Judicial Branch
100 Washington Street, Third Floor
Hartford, CT 06106

RE: Request for Comment on Practice Book Sections 13-4(a) and (b) Amendment
(RC # 2020.010)

Dear Justice McDonald:

Thank you for forwarding the P.B. Section 13-4(a) and (b) proposed rule changes for comment from the Connecticut Trial Lawyers Association.

Upon review of the proposal and discussion with our rules committee, CTLA supports the change Judge Stevens proposes. We also suggest one minor change to the proposal. The proposal adds the following language as subsection (a)(1): "An order of compliance, if not yet issued;". CTLA suggests deleting "if not yet issued," because that language implies the judicial authority only has authority to issue an order of compliance if one has not already issued. We think it is preferable for the judicial authority to have the discretion to issue an order of compliance when one is already pending.

We appreciate receiving notice of the proposal and request for comment.

Respectfully,


Stephanie Z. Roberge

SZR/sma